Thakur.

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 1188 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : -6 APR 2016

C.A. No. 03/2013 IN O.A. No. 275/2009.
(Sub:- Non-Payment)

1 Shri Vijay H. Meshram,
C/o. 302, Red Wood Vasant Garden, Mulund (w), Mumbai-80.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

1 Mrs. Medha Gadgil, The Principal Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

2 Shri Sanjiv Dayal, The D.G. of Police, M.S., Mumbai.

3 Dr. Satyapal Singh, The Commissioner of Police, Greater Mumbai.

4 Shri R.K. Gaikwad, The Director, Social Welfare Dept.,M.S.,Pune-5.

5 Shri Vijay Chauhan, The Addl. Police Commissioner, Armed Police, Naigaon, Mumbai.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **31st** day of **March, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : None for the Applicant.
Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**
HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

DATE : **31.03.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

5/4/2016

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

of Coram, rs or rders	Tribunal's orders

Date: 31.03.2016

C.A. No. 03/2013 in O.A. No 275/2009\

Shri V.H. Meshram Versus Mrs.Medha Gadgil, Principal Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and Others.

(1) None for the applicant. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) This Contempt Application was listed before the Chairman sitting singly on today's board. It was ordered to be listed before the Division Bench consisting of Chairman and Vice Chairman.

(3) The case is taken up in the afternoon session. None appeared for the applicant in the morning as well as in the afternoon session.

(4) Respondents have pointed out as follows:-

(i) The order passed in O.A. is implemented.

(ii) The applicant has been paid all the arrears.

(iii) The applicant has made an application for interest on delayed payment of arrears under Rule 129B of M.C.S. (Pension) Rules and has also filed separate O.A. for that purpose.

(5) In view that compliance is adequately shown we propose not to take any action. Contempt Proceedings are dropped.

sd/- sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice Chairman

(A.H.Joshi,J.)
Chairman

Psz

TRUE COPY
6/4/2016

Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal